## MEMORIAL

Concerning the Conduct of the Presbytery of Glasgow, as to the Settlement of Govan; from the Beginning of that Affair in May 1746, till September 1747, wherein is shown.

I. That their Conduct was not the Cause of the Opposition to the Candidate, and could not justly give any Encouragement to it.

II. That that Opposition was such, as gave strong Grounds for their not sustaining the Call to him.

III. That they have shewed much Regard to the Assembly's Decision, by their Endeavours to procure

Submission to it.

IV. That there are very great Difficulties in the Way of proceeding further, while the Opposition continues such as it is at present: And that if the Settlement is made in the present Situation of the Parish, there will be still less bope of their Submitting to it afterwards.

of Glasgow's Conduct in this Affair, has been founded, and which seem to be generally acknowledged in other Cases, are fuch as these. That the End of Church Authority and of the Pastoral Office is Edification: That this requires Submission on the Part of the People to the Munistration of Pastora: That such Submission.

A mission

mission must be voluntary and cannot be forced's That while there is no Prospect of obtaining it, but the greatest Reason to apprehend the contrary, inflead of hurrying a Settlement, it is fafest to use Delay; to prevent if possible the involving any Corner

in the Calamities of a violent Settlement.

By adhering to fuch Principles, Presbytery have not hitherto had so much as one violent Settlement among them fince the Revolution. Notwithstanding of which, their Conduct as to Govan has been represented by some in such a Light, as if it were a fufficient Argument even for haftening that Settlement; that whatever be the Effects of it in the Parish, it seems a necessary Punishment of the Misconduct (or Partiality) of the Presbytry. Mean time while those their Accusers, at least some of them, impute the Opposition so much to the Presbytery, as to make the Parish comparatively innocent in that Matter: it feems hard to urge the punishing of the Innocent for the Guilty, there being other Ways to animadvert on the Conduct of Presbytries, than by any Severities against those under their Inspection.

It is by no Means intended in this Paper to charge on all the Gentlemen, who have declared for this Settlement, every Misrepresentation that is here resuted; or even every Thing of that Kind that has been advanced in publick Pleadings for their Caufe. It is not at all so needful to consider who have chiefly framed or spread such Things, as it is to resute them, which not only is doing Justice to those injured by them, but also has some Tendency ( at least ) to o-

ther good Purposes.

It must indeed be expected that some will ask to what purpose? Seeing this is a Cause already judged and finally determined? To which it is answered that removing injurious Aspersions is a valuable Pur-

on'a any of a trav Succ byte is lo are I of a and I this - It Cond This gainf trary **fmall** by it with at a T

pose Thin

laid ; in th Verba is the fation

rery,

to be

that the P could to be

pose



pose of it self. But besides this, it is by no Means a Thing already judged, that there must be no Delay, on any account whatever, in this Affair: Nor is any precise Time limited. And it is only on account of a Delay, joined with an Appointment of surther travelling with the People, in Case of any hope of Success, that an Appeal has been made from the Presbytery. And it is well known that where a Delay is so necessary as in this Case, if it is granted, there are more Expedients than one, by which the Fears of a violent Settlement may possibly be dissappointed, and that without Prejudice to the Decision made in this Affair.

c b

ut

in-

)e-

ner

aid

ne

on.

an

s if

hat

in

the

ean

m,

ry,

the

by

1.

rge

this

ut-

een

t is

fra-

by

0-

c to

dgered

arpose It is a chief Misrepresentation of the Presbytery's Conduct, that it was the Cause of the Opposition. This is alledged not only without Evidence, but against so strong and manifold Evidence for the contrary, that the Allegation might justly cause no small Surprize; were it not that the Cause supported by it plainly needed some extraordinary Methods without which the Pleaders for it could not but be at a great Loss for Arguments.

This one Argument, which imputes to the Presbyrery, the Opposition made by the Parish, seems to be that on which the greatest stress has been laid; in Written Reasons of different Appeals; in the Memorial printed on that Side; and in Verbal Pleadings both in Publick and Private. It is therefore of Importance to consider that Accu-

A chief Evidence against the Accusation is, that the Opposition was so well known, even to the Patrons themselves, before the Presbytery did or could do any Thing in the Affair: And continued to be so, before any of the Interlocutors complain'd of,

A 2

Of this there are various clear Proofs.

Presentation was given in, which was not till May 28. the Peoples strong Disinclination to the Candidate, was notified to the Rector of the University, a Gentleman much regarded in all that Corner and elsewhere; by Heritors and Elders stated Hearers at Govan, with whom he had desired a meeting. It was first notified as a Thing sufficiently known to them: But besides this on a new private Trial made at his Desire it was farther confirmed; first to him, and then both to him and other Masters of the College, at a meeting on that Assair.

To explain this early Difinclination to that Candidate, however good his Character is; It is well known that whereas the Parish had heard him oft, during at least 6 or 7 Years before their former Minister's Death, The Difinclination appeared evident even then, which has been again and again declared to the Presbytery: who expressly take notice of this in their Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly.

2. A Differt against the Presentation to him founded on the Disinclination or Opposition as sufficiently notour, was entred in the College's Records.

3. After he was Presented, and before the Interlocutors complain'd of, very sew heard him: And almost all even of those sew were against him, as appeared plainly afterwards by their Votes. People's not bearing him is mentioned here, not as a thing to be justified: but only as a Proof of the Earliness and Strength of the Opposition.

4. It is another Proof of this, and it is only for that End it is mentioned, that as early as July 9th, the chief stated Hearers in Govan, appeared by their Procurator to dispute the Right of Presentation.

5 Thereafter

ti

0

tic

of

ed

Fo

5th

aga

ter

wh

ille

Pre

for

y's

5th

or d

5. Thereaster a Delay was agreed on by both Sides till after Harvest: Had not the Opposition been known to the Patrons, Is it not Obvious that instead of such a Delay, they would have insisted for the Moderation of a Call and, if resused, have appeal'd

to the Synod in October 1746.

6. When the Delay was agreed on, the Patrons defired of the other Side a farther Trial of the People, to fee if the Opposition could be removed; and after Harvest it was reported to them that there

were no hopes of it.

the

May

ndi-

fity,

and

rs at

was

em:

and

Col-

lan-

well

dur-

er's

ven

the

heir

bly.

ind-

ntly

er-

And

ap-

not

and

for

th,

heir

fter

7. Itwas a strong Proof of this that on November 5th. the same Day that the Moderation of a Call to the Presentee was applied for: there was the like Application in savour of another Candidate, given in by Haughead, and sign'd by 31 Heritors, and by himself and other ten Elders and Deacons: To which last Petition, a great many Heads of Families in said Parish were Subscribing and concurring. These are the very Words of the Presbytery's Records.

8. That the Opposition, previous to any Interlocutor of Presbytery, was known to the University; is owned in their Memorial printed at Edinburgh in May last; For there, speaking of the time preceeding November 5th, it is said that there were unreasonable Heats viz: against the Candidate, which they affirmed were attempted to be raised by the Management of the Elders who, as they surther alledge, had entered into an illegal Combination against him. But, by the by, the Presbytery on narrow Inquiry could find no ground for this Charge.

But here great noise has been made by the Presbytery's Opponents that, tho' there was, properly speaking, no Interlocutor of Presbytery in this Affair before Nav. 5th; the Strain and Tenor of their Conduct might or did promote the Opposition. Is it not evidently con-

trary

be

of

of

B

to

all

W

ha

ef

of

th

in

be

(1

in

to

an

al

a

ab

it

O

C

th

W

th

W

W

trary to common equity to lay firefs on general reflections not supported by special Condescensions on Facts. None will find any thing in the Records, during all the time preceding Nov. 5th but fuch things as thefe: The Presbytery's making the Candidate one of their Probationers: their fending him from time to time to preach at Govan: their agreeing at the College's defire and for their Convenience, to have a Meeting on purpose, on June 18, to see their Right; because it might be needful to return it foon to Edinburgh, their hearing Debates between parties about that Right and the like : During which time there might perhaps be some Reafonings among fome Members about that Right which was not quite clear to them all: and fome Expressions that at any rate it was not suitable for a Presbyterian Colledge to use such Right. But where is the partiality here? what Encouragement could justly be taken from this to oppose the Candidate; Does it not look as if People were ftraitned for Proofs of the Presbytery's promoting the Opposition when such things as these are made handles of? That Minister, and but one it was who expressed himself in this manner concerning a Presbyterian Colledge, made not the least Reflection against the Candidate. Instead of oppoling him, he contracted Intimacy with him as early as Harvest 1748. He employ'd him to preach and fpoke well of his Sermons. He communicated his favourable. Impressions of him on different occasions. He publickly recommended him to the Parish both in a Speech before the Synod in Apriel 1747: and by a Letter read at their Committee that travelled with that People in Govan Church foon after.

But a heavier Charge still has been brought against the Presbytery. Their severest Accusers not finding Ground enough from their publick Conduct befor e

before Nov. 1746. to give Colour to the Charge of their promoting the Opposition, have accused them of no less than private tampering with the Parish: But what can be more contrary to all Equity than to allege this not only without Evidence, but against all such Evidence as the Resutation of such a Charge is capable of? For the thing is denied both by the Presbytery and by the Parish. And if it were true; how unlikely is it that the Secret would have been kept among so many Country People? especially when so much irritated, as at least some of them are, by the Presbytery's travelling with them since the Assembly's Decision.

But to proceed to what happened from Nov.

5th. 1747.

6 The Appointment on Nov 5th. of a previous Trial of the People's Inclinations, instead of granting inflantly the Moderation of a Call; cannot be blam'd as promoting the Opposition; because (1) in this they only followed their usual Method in like Cases. Which they had the less ground to condemn as they have been fo long without any violent Settlements. (2) The Opposition had already appeared to be fo very great: And fo ftrong a Proof of it apppear'd that very Day, by the above Petition on that Side then given in; that this it felf is an Argument that the promoting of the Opposition was not the Design of appointing the Committee for that Trial. (3) Had that been the Defign; Is it at all credible that the Presbytery would have put on that Committee the Ministers that were most intimate with the Candidate and whose willingness and Capacity to recommend him were above all Suspicion? and that they would have restricted the Trial to him alone? (4) At that Committee he was recommended, and that in

(8)

a strong Manner: And the People warned of the bad Consequences that might attend the Continuance of the Opposition: And this was done by a Member of the Committee who was more fit than many others to give fuch a Recommendation, because of his Intimacy with the Candidate: And when he had done and spoke to the Moderator about his inculcating these things on the People who were met: The Reply given was that the Recommendation given already was so full that farther Addition was Superfluous. Which furely was not a Refusal to recommend: nor a contradicting the Recommendation given. Notwithstanding of which the above Memorial for the University, publish'd and figned by their Lawyer, expresly says. " Nor " would they recommend him at this same Sound-46 ing, although the Heritors declaring for him " made together with those who were filent, an " evident Majority."

fr

CO

no

be

it

ob

W

to

a I

ftr

En

Wa

ref

tho

 $M\epsilon$ 

wit

fou

the

was

Bu

of a

who

an (

forn

free

agai Prei Rea

In the University's Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly it was said that, "When it was pro"posed and desired in open Presbytry to recom"mend Mr. Thom, they expresly resused to do it."
Yea in the above Memorial it is said that, "No
"Application from the University or Heritors could prevail with the Presbytery to recommend him."

The Defence against all this is? First, as is taken Notice of in the Presbytery's Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly. "The Presbytery absolutely refuse the Fact: And put the Appellants to the Proof of it. They observe justly that, there is no such thing in their Minutes: That no such thing was ever transacted by them; what any particular Member might say, on the different Proposals concerning the sounding then under der Consideration. (Nov. 5th) The Presbytery

(9)

are not to be blamed for: That it shows an Uncommon Desire to find fault, when the Opinion of every Member must be held a Deed of the Pres-

" bytery, and put on their Score."

i-

n,

br

2-

10

n-

er

2

e-

ch

nd

or

d-

m

an

he

0-

n-

To

ld

en

le

y -

0-

t,

at

at

r-

11-

y

As to what is faid in the printed Memorial, which supposes that there were repeated Applications from the University and Heritors for such Recommendation; It is hop'd these Gentlemen will by no means adopt that Allegation, as they must themselves be conscious, that there was never so much as any

one Application of that kind made by them.

But to clear up the only Fact from which, it is apprehended, occasion has been taken for such objections: On Nov 5th, there was a Question whether the founding fhould be at large, or restricted to the Presentee; while doing it at large was talk'd of a Member proposed that the Committee should be instructed to recommend the Presentee because of our Embarassiment by the law of Patronage. Asterwards it was agreed without a Vote that the Sounding should be restricted to him: which being, of the different methods proposed, the most favourable for him: The Member who had spoke as above about a founding, with an Instruction to recommend, and who at the founding actually did recommend, did not infift for the Presbytery's Judgment on this Proposal: Nor was any Judgment given about it.

But before this happened, and while the other method of a founding at large was talked of; one Member, who alone is answerable for what he said, had an Objetion against such a Precedent as above, of formally appointed Recommendations, not against free Voluntary ones. Any thing hinted by him against the former, had no more respect to the Presentee than to all other Candidates whatever. His Reasoning, which it seems must be called a resusal

B

of a Recommendation; and not only a Refusal by him but by the Presbytery, Was so far from being liable to that Imputation, that it contained as good a Recommendation of the Candidate as could well be expected from him according to his Means of Knowledge of him. Speaking well of some Sermons he had lately heard from him; and of good Accounts he had of his moral Character; So that the Recommendation extended both to his Preaching and Practice.

This with the Publick Recommendations of him already mentioned; and the Declarations made by feveral other Members of the Presbytery before the Synod, that they also had taken opportunities to recommend him, shews how little ground there is of Complaints, as if care had not been taken to do Justice to that young Man's Character. Perhaps there are few Cases where more Pains has been taken that Way: And this may justly make it feem amazing how much the above Memorial dwells on these complaints; as if they were almost the chief Strength of the Cause: According to that Paper, the Refusal complained of encouraged a Combination; No Application could prevail on the Presbytery to act otherwise: The Refusal is mentioned as a sormal Step taken in Place of granting a Moderation when regularly applied for. And it is added as if the Refusal had been made also at the Sounding.

Whereas the Defences now made on this Head are fuller in some respect than that in the Presbytery's Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Synod; there is this plain Reason for it, that they who composed these Answers, had not with them the Member who made the above Proposal, while sounding at large was talked of, and best remembred the Circumstances

of it

Pri

33

..

.

..

66

66

..

\*\*

..

46 .

M

it

th

pla

an

ble

to

th

B

11

It is proper to add here a short Extract from the Presbytery's Answers, to the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly. There it is said, "The Presbytery 66 beg leave to put the Appellants in mind of a Fact, which is not in our Minutes indeed, being kept out at their own Defire, but we hope will not be re-" fused by them. They acquainted the Presby-" tery that they had agreed with the People in "Opposition to them to have a Friendly Meet-" ing to the End, they might endeavour to fatisfy them that the University had good Right to the Patronage of the Parish of Govan, and defired that the Presbytery might appoint two of their " Number to meet with them. This the Prefbytery chearfully complied with. And they " Appeal to all Present at that meeting if the "two Ministers did not answer the Expectation of the Appellants, in dealing with the Op-" ponents to drop their Opposition."

In December, instead of granting instantly the Moderation of a Call, the Presbytery referred the Matter to the Synod. Against this Delay it it may be Objected by those in the Opposition, that it gave the other Side Time and Opportunity to make their Call at least somewhat more plausible; without making it at all a good one: and without giving any Prospect of a comfort-

ble Settlement.

by

be-

as

uld

ans

er-

ood

hat

ing

nim

by

the

re-

sof

tice

are

hat

ing

m-

gth

ıfal

No

act

mal

hen

the

are

An-

here

ofed

who

arge

nces

It

But when it is objected that the Delay tended to the Increase of the Opposition, the Result of the Previous Trial seems to be forgotten by them. By that Trial it appeared that among all the stated Hearers in Goven, that is all those that attend there through the Year, and not merely in Sum-

mer, the Opposition appeared absolutely universal. Not one of that Character, Heritor, Elder or Head of a Family appeared for the Presentee: and indeed as to others of any Sort, the Number was not very considerable: Remarks to be made after-

wards will make all this more clear.

If the Presbytery could be supposed to have intended to encrease the Opposition, it could not be among the Elders or Heads of Families: for none at all of the former Class, and but one of the latter Voted for the Presentee. It must have been then among either the Gorballs Fewars, the fix residing Heritors, or the Gentlemen now residing, who had appeared on that Side. But that the Presbytery should intend or propose, that the Delay would make any Number of them join the Opposers, is in itself so improbable, that it is not likely their Accusers will Charge them with it. Certainly none should do so without evidence.

When the Opposition was so general, could any thing be more evidently requisite for diminishing it than a Delay; that Gentlemen of such Interest, as it is well known several of the Callers of the Presentee are, might have Time to exert it, for lessening the Opposition and encreasing the Appearance for the Candidate? And did not the Event shew that this was indeed the Effect of the Delay? If it be objected that this was not the Intention of it. Is it at all candid sometimes to speak of the promoting the Opposition as the Effect of the Delay: Sometimes as only the Intention of it, but that the Effect was the direct contrary?

As to the Presbytery's Intentions and the Reasons of their Conduct, they observe in their Answers to

he

th

V

C

۲Ì

ti

n

b

fu

( 13 )

the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly that, "Finding the Parish in no Disposition to call the Presentee; and having never moderated a Call in such circumstances; they thought it the safest and best course to delay the Assair for some Time: and get the Synod's Authority interposed, rather than con-

al.

or

nd

128

er-

ve

101

for

of

ive

the

re-

hat

the

oin

not

it.

. . .

ould

mi-

uch

llers

xert

the

the

t of

not

mes

ffeet

n of

tions

rs to

the

clude the Affair with an hafty Moderation." It is faid to have been one of the chief publick Pleadings against the Presbytery: that it was the Language of their Conduct, that let the University do what they pleased to promote the Settlement they were refolved to oppose it. If the meaning is, that tho' the University should use never so many Endeayours to get a good Concurrence; and whatever fuccess they might have in such Endeavours, the Presbytery would oppose the Settlement: They have cause to complain of this as a very injurious Aspersion. And indeed it is a very strange Interpretation of what was the Presbyterys Conduct in this matter. Their making the Candidate one of their Probationers: Their fending him fo frequently to preach at Govan. Their fending no other Probationer there after he was presented: Their reftricking the Trial of Inclinations to him befides the various Recommendations of him above mentioned.

If the meaning of the Objection was, That whatever the University did on their Part, if such Opposition, almost universal, continued on the Part of the Congregation; this would appear to the Presbytery a just Objection against the Settlement: This indeed is no Reflection on the Presbytery: But for that very reason it is difficult to suppose it to be the meaning of a Speech, the obvious and prosessed scope of which was to make their Conduct and Resolutions appear highly unjustifiable.

Thet

. ( 14 )

That so great Opposition would be a good Objection against a Settlement, is no more than the Language of our Acts of Assembly against violent Setlements: the Language of the constant Practice of moderating Calls: and indeed the Language of the Conduct of the Presbytery of Glasgow, as was hinted above, since the restoring of our Church Government.

But to proceed to what passed at the Moderation

and judging of the Call in Aprile 1746.

Tho' the Memorial printed for the University, calls the Committee that moderated the Call the Presbytery's Committee, and accordingly charges them with Partiality; they were indeed not named by the Presbytery, tho' Members of it, but by the Synod; as their own Minutes bear. The Committee being thus named, and consisting of Ministers who were known to be very friendly to the Candidate, and had recommended him as they had Access; this itself is a Presumption that they would not be partial against him: And to candid People it must appear a Presumption in Favours even of the Presbytery; that there was not one step made by that Committee but what was approved of by them.

The same Memorial counts four Heritors Voters for the Presentee who, it says, were rejected without any just reason. It makes The Minor W. C. Minor one of the Four: But the real sact was that he was not rejected at all. For this the Subscriptions to the Call are appeal-

ed to.

Mean time this Minor stands for two Voters in the Calculations in the Memorial: In Article 1st among the Voters at the Moderation: and in Article M be Ca ob dif

tic

Re

of

\*\*

..

the twing being

44

.

ded

..

«« ««

aga

( 15 )

ticle 4th among those unjustly rejected, but by the Memorial, restored to their right: This, it must be owned is very profitable Arthimetick for the Cause pled in the Memorial: mean time it may be observed from it, that, at this Rate it will be no difficult matter to make large enough Calls.

Other two of the four were prefumptive Heirs of Heritors. On this head, in the Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly, it is observed The Presbytery knows of no Title that eldest Sons or presumptives Heirs, have to Vote as Heritors in the Call of a Minister: Besides, if this Title had been sustained, the Heritors in the Opposition insisted for a vote to their Sons, which could not have been resused: whereby a considerable frength would have been added to the Op-

conents Interest."

ec-

the

ent

tice

of

vas

-06

ion

ity,

the

rges

ned

the

m-

ini-

the

hey

hev

ndid

ven

ftep

ved

ters

or

all.

cal-

s in

Ift

Ar-

ticle

As to the Fourth faid to be unjustly rejected, the Minutes of Moderation bear that he, with the two prefumptive Heirs just now mentioned, being objected against as not being Heritors: and being therefore called on to produce their Rights; the Answer was, that as they were allowed to Vote formerly, they did not apprehend any necessity " of producing their Right at this Time." It is ad-Minutes. " The Committee are ded in the of Opinion that as to M. M. and R. and J. G. standing on the Roll at the founding, is no Evidence of their being Heritors, because " no person was then obliged to object against them; but now being objected that they are or not Heritors, and declining and not instructing their right; the Committee do not fustain " their Votes."

There were Five Heritors voters for the Presentee, against whose Votes Objections were made by

CE

ha

n

th

fo

th

pr

fer

46

..

40

..

50

-

..

..

..

66

66

20.1

eve

cla

to

by the other Side; but the Objections were neither fustained by the Committee nor by the Prefbytery: And the Objections against three of the Votes in the Opposition were sustained by both. It would be tedious to go through all particulars. But there are two which joined with other things help much to form a right Judgement, of the

Memorial fo oft mentioned.

One is, that it fays that A. S. tho' engaged in the alleged Combination, was admitted to Vote in the Opposition by the Presbytery. But the Fact is, he did not appear at all at the Moderation, or claim a Vote on either Side, and as for the founding the Presbytery gave no Judgment on any particular Votes then claimed. However this is the most useful Heritor of any, to the cause of the Memorialist and his Imployers; he makes a fine Handle against the Elders for an alledged Combination, of which they are altogether Innocent. He likewise gives rife to an Objection against the Presbytery, for not rejecting his Vote which he did not claim; and laftly, he becomes a good Voter for the Presentee, as he was one of the Sixteen who appeared on neither Side, and therefore according to the Memorial must be presumed to be for him. We leave it to our Opponents to reconcile these things, but hope the impartial Worldwill be convinced, from this and other Facts, what methods have been used to blacken the Presbytery, and procure Votes to the Presentee's Call.

The other particular is that the Memorial fays,

They likewise admitted as a Voter in the Op
position a Lise-renter whose Proxy had been rejected by the Committee as informal. But we wish the Memorialist and his Informers, had shown

( 17 )

shown the same defire not to blind and deceive in this particular, that they profess to have had on another Occasion, when speaking of the Gorbals Fewars, for no Notice is here taken at all of what is very clear and full in the Minutes: viz. that the Proxy, when prefented to the Committee wanted a Direction; or power to particular Person to give it in; and that Defect was abundantly supplied when it was presented to the Presbytery.

nei-

ref-

the

oth.

ars.

ings

the

in

ote

Fact

ion,

ind-

any

the

Me,

ndle

, of

wife

ery,

im;

the

ap-

ing,

im.

hefe

vin-

nods

pro-

ays,

Op,

cen

we

had nwc A chief Plea for the Call is that the Gorbals

Fewars Voting for it, ought to The Gorbals have been fustained as Heritors. On this Head, in the Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly it is obferved, " Thefe Fewars are merely Fewars of "Houses: they pay no Cess; at least per capita. And what Proportion may be paid for the Lands on which the Town is Built, is paid out of a Publick Fund belonging to the Community: That they never before claimed an Interest as Heritors; or are liable to any Publick . Burden within the Parish as fuch: That the admitting them is contrary to the Practice of the Church so far as we know it, and would introduce a dangerous Precedent: befides the Fewars in Govan and Partick, pled that if " those of Gorbals were admitted, they also claimed Votes by which if they were fuftained, we " imagine the Appellants would be confiderable

" Lofers." Besides all this; seeing only 15 Gorbals Fewars, even by the Account given in the Memorial declare for the Presentee; and the whole are said to be about 60, befides upwards of 24. Fewars (-18)

in Govan and Partick: and all befides the 15 or most of them according to credible Information from Persons of Probity are on the other Side. Had the Presbytery had that attachment, which they are charged with to the Opposition; was it not obviously the proper Way to promote it, to sustain all the Fewars, at least those of Gorbals, as Heritors, supposing even no more Evidence than credible Information that they savoured the Opposition? But indeed it is surther Presumption of it, that those on the other Side did not get them to join them, notwithstanding of their well known Insluence, and their Pains to encrease the Concurrence.

If those Fewars were sustained as Heritors, how little might the other Heritors have to say? and seeing the Memorial Classes all other Neutral Heritors on the Presentee's Side, what hindred classing all Neutral Fewars the same Way; if it was not Consciousness that claiming 15 Heritors of the Rank of Fewars was enough in all Reason.

The Memorial has an Article of 16 Heritors. presumed for the Presentee because they Of Neutral Voted on neither Side. As fomething Heritors, was faid about fuch Heritors in the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly; in the Anfwers to them it is faid, "The Presbytery are " informed from the Committee, That to the se best of their Knowledge there were only Two 46 Heritors present who did not Vote: That seve-" rals indeed were Absent: But the Presbytery « cannot allow the Appellants to claim them as " theirs; for it is notour that some of them " are Seceders who will not own any Minister of "Govan. -- Others as we are informed have been 66 urged for that

do decl tant nifte are fupp due

for

tion

abov

A mor the him, prov rish that two not be for

Of Gor

gati

ment

on ei

fuch

of

( 19 )

" urged to appear on rhat Side" (meaning that for the Presentee) " but have declined it."

There is good Information, on further inquiry, that of these neutral Heritors; five are Seceders; Two or Three others reside; and Eight or nine do not reside in the Parish; and that these last decline meddling because they think the Inhabitants of the Parish should chuse their own Minister: which is an Argument that at least they are not for a violent Settlement of the Presentee: supposing some of them might even otherwise have due regard for him. And indeed that they are not for the Settlement, at least in the present Situation of Things, is presumable from the Reason above applied to the neutral Fewars:

According to the Principles on which the Memorial feems to argue; one may be prefumed to have the Majority of those called legal Electors for him, tho' he have almost no votes for him; provided the Majority of the Heritors of the Parish are, either Seceders, or Non residing Heritors that chuse to be neutral; or is made up of these two Sorts. But whatever be said of others, Is it not strange that Seceders should be presumed to be for a Presentee, and even for a violent settlement of him; merely on account of not voting on either side; considering the Principles from which

fuch neutrality flows;

f

r

d

-

g

ot

s,

ey

ıg

he

n-

re

he

VO

e-

ry

as

m

of

en

cd

Whereas the Presbytery is blamed for the Difference they make betwixt the Congreof the gations of Gorballs and Govan; In their Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Assembly, they vindicate themselves thus. "The Presbytery own they make fuch a Distinction; and that it appears to them of confiderable weight in this Question, not C 2 "wholly

wholly to diffegard the Gorbals; but to shew much less Regard to their Confent, than to the " Stated hearers of the Minister of Govan. The Appellants own the People have erected a House for "Divine worship at their own Charges : They also maintain a Preacher who refides among them; who regularly preaches every Sabbath, and once a " Month on week Days; who statedly Catechizes them; vifits their Families and Sick; and in thort performs all the Parts of the Ministerial Office a-66 mong them that a Probationer can do.--- As to the Sacrament of Baptism they commonly apof ply to the Ministers of Glasgow, being only sepaparated from that City by the Bridge: whereas they are two Miles from Govan: It is very true the Minister of Govan must give his consent to the Choice of a Preacher they make; but it is as certain they nominate the Man: And the Minister has no other Power in the matter than that of giing his confent --- They [viz. the Gorbals People] are no more his hearers, nay perhaps less than maor ny who live in other Parishes.

Of Gorbals Congregation none are nearer Govan Church than two Miles; and some are three. On the other hand feverals of Govan Division live nearer the Gorbals Church, some of them much nearer and have Seats there, which helps to clear a general remark to be verified afterwards, that not above two of all the ftated Hearers in Govan-Church have given proper evidence that they are for the Candidate. By the stated Hearers are meant those who reside and are hearers in that Congregation, not only in the Summer feafon

but through the Year.

In the Narrative of the Presbytery's Sentence April There is the following clear Account of the Numbers on each fide. "The Presbytery find that

ff there

66

.

66

..

66 (

ec 1

ec li

66 2

ec P ac al

er G

tho'

refidi  $\mathbf{E}$ lec $\mathbf{f}$ 

O

fide who

twol

who

a hear Com

before

Baptiz the Si

Th

T

66

there were 19 Heritors who voted for Mr. Thom se fix of whom only refide in the Parish; and against 66 him 35 Heritors, 23 of whom do refide: also

"that feven Elders voted against Mr. Thom, tho'

one of them now declared by a Paper under his " Hand, that if the Church should ordain Mr. Thom

he should submit to his Ministry."

he

p-

or

fo

1;

a

cs

rt

a-

1s

p-

a-

as 10

10

r-

i-

-

"They found also that 4 Heads of Families figned the Call at the Moderation, and that by writs pro-

"duced this Day, 30 declared their Concurrence with " it, and 27 promifed Submiffion to Mr. Thom's Mi-

" niftry should hebe settled. They find likewise de-" clared and not contradicted by any, that three of

the Papers of Concurrence this Day produced, con-taining the Subscriptions of 48 heads of Fami-

" lies, were! Subscriptions of Residenters in Gorbals

" and Members of that Congregation."

"All these Things being duly considered by the er Presbytery and that the Parish of Govan confists of 46 about 400 examinable Persons, abstract from the

" Gorbats and including Gorbats of above 3000, &c.

Thus it appears that of those called legal Electors tho' they were for the Candidate 19 in all, 6 of them refiding: this made not one third of the whole of fuch Electors, nor above one fifth Part of the refiding ones.

Of the Six called Refiding Heritors, one half refide in Glasgow in Winter; of the other half one, who lives at Denfield very near Renfrew (which is twoMiles from Govan) is a hearer at Renfrew: Another who resides three Miles from Govan Church is a hearer in Gorbals: A third is reckoned not of our Communion, of which he gave this evidence a little before the Trial of Inclinations, That he had a Child Baptized by an Episcopal Minister; so that none of the Six are stated hearersn in Govan.

That this, which is of publick Notority, is not taken notice

notice of in the Presbyteries minutes, is an evidence that they have not been so keen as they are represented against their Accusers, seeing they have passed over in silence a Thing so much tending to shew the weakness of their Cause.

At the Trial of Inclinations, the residing Heritors who appeared for the Candidate, were the same Six now mentioned who are not stated hearers: no Elder appeared on that side at all. The only head of Family who appeared, tho' residing indeed in Govan Congregation, is not a stated hearer there but in Gorbals, living nearer to it. This verifies the above Remark, the Proof of which it was proper to referr to this Place, that at the previous Trial in Nov. among all the Stated Hearers in Govan through the Year not so much as one, either Heritor, Elder or head of Family appeared for the Candidate. Is it likely that to encrease such an opposition as this, was the End of the Presbytery's Delay and References.

What is hinted by the Memorialist about one of the above fix Heritors, as having a Family in Govan even in Winter when himself resides in Glasgow; and what some say of another of them as spending more than the summer half Year in Govan is not

material. It is enough to mention it.

The filence of the Memorialist the' so attentive to whatever might savour his Cause, as to landed Interest and superior Valuation, is a Presumption that the Advantage in that respect is not on his side: that indeed it is considerably on the other side, is shewn in a sull Account of that Matter, sent to Edinburgh last May, but too long to be here transcribed; and from which it appears that the Town of Glasgow are the chief Heritors of that Parish.

When a Gentleman of the Memorialists Character argues from the Rank of those on his side, perhaps he would

Tow ject their as whin the

not
all Pr
lent of
well
Settle
able
(white
on in
a Cal

diftin not f from whice them better

at the

It

In a diffior of the Settle of the set tal fequence with the wind tal fequence and tal feque

Preft fo or thing ( 23 )

would have vouchsafed some deserence to the second Town in Scotland, but that he was instructed to object that their Vote was invalid, on pretence that their Commission was informal, the really as formal as what they give in other Cases: And the their Sense

in that Matter was abundantly instructed.

c

f

n

e

C

0

7.

e

d

0

ie

72

ot

t

d

11

y,

h

cf

er

he

Their appearing against this settlement, which was not till April, after it had been long notour that in all Probability it could be no other than a very violent one, strongly confirms what is indeed otherwise well known, that the bad consequences of such a Settlement of that Parish, must be the more considerable because of its vicinity to that populous City (which by the by is the best affected to our constitution in Britain) to whom it will be the next Thing to a Calamity of that sort among themselves to see it just at their Gates.

It was acting much in Character for a City of so distinguished zeal for Liberty, to interpose as they did, not from disregard to the other side; far from it: but from laudable Compassion to a populous Parish; in which they have so much Interest: and lying so near them, that they must know its Circumstances much better than Non-residing Heritors at a distance.

In the above cited Answers, the Presbytery taking a different Way from the Memorial speak with regard of the Heritors on both sides: and as to those for the Settlement they say "One of their voters in particular deserves the Esteem & Regard of this Church: But he has not his residence in that Place. And we charitably hope, did that worthy Gentleman view the confequence of this Settlement in the same Light we do, he would yet give over strugling for it. Possibly the Presbytery may own the same Thing as to others also on that side, whose laudable Application to other things may make them less acquainted with Matters of

tha

Rit

mi

wa

in l

alle

legal

Dina

cert

and

the is

of th

ec th

se H

se xy

s. co

ec de

ec had

es on

ec fair

es Ap

se juf

which

from

The

the a

about

it. It

withou

withou

should

To

1

fact and experience as to Settlements: Is it not some Presumption of this, that in the first Reason of their last Appeal they speak, as if it were evident to them, that violent Settlements if once made, must be soon and chearfully submitted to by the Majority?

As to the Elders in the whole Parish; there are 8 in all, 4 in each Congregation; The Presh, Minutes show that 7 voted against the Presentee. The only one absent resides in Gorbals. The Memorial only mentions him as Silent, and infers that therefore he must in Law be presumed not unsavourable to the Presentee. But not only had he Signed the Petition in November for another Candidate, but also, about the Time of the Moderation, he declared before Haushead and Bellehoussoun both Heritors and Elders, that he would have sent a Proxy to the Moderation against the Presentee, were it not that he was so indiposed that he could not write.

The Elder in whose Name was given in a written Promise of Submission to the Candidates Ministry is Settled, afterwards Signed a Denial of any such Promise, in a Writ under his Hand, dated at Glasgow, May 13. 1747. and attested by two well known Witnesses, John Hamilton Junior Maltman, and Peter

Pater fon Writer.

In that Paper he and other two who sign along with him (one of whom is an Heritor) all three Signers of the same alleged written Promise of Submission expressly say, That they declared they would go and hear Mr. Thom preach one Day without Prejudice in case he was Settled: That they were told, that the Paper which they had signed imported no more; that it would not be made use of at the Moderation: and they say likewise that that Paper was signed some Days before the Moderation, yet the Memorial, the writer of which indeed might know no other, says

( 25 )

that it was fince the Moderation, and the next Day after it, that that Elder fignified his willingness to sub. mit, &c. This written Denial of the alleged promise was fent to Edinburgh; but forgot by the Advocate

in his Pleading.

,

n

8

es

ie

1-

ift

e.

er

of

nd

he

he

nat

ten

if

rô-

lay

it-

ter

ng

ers

ex-

and

lice hat

ore;

on:

me

the

that

In fpeaking of the Elders in general the Memorialist fays, that what prevented any of that Of the Body from joining the Candidate was an legal Con. early Concert against him. Speaking of this in different Places, be calls it a Concert or Combination that was early, Unwarrantable, He makes it an Objection against and Illegal. the Vote of S. R. In the Presbytery's Answers; it is faid on this Head, " The Presbytery can scarge of think the Appellants are in earnest in consuring them for fuffaining the Vote of S. R. of H. Heritor and Elder: The Signed Letter of Proxy referred to by the Appellants was read and s. considered; and from it there appeared no Evidence of any illegal Combination. And the there " had been any fuch thing there was no Exprellion in the Letter that could import that the se said Mr. Resuan had any Concern in it. Appellants if they please may produce the Proxy se from which the Presbytery's Interlocator will be es justified."

To explain this Matter 2 little, the Proxy from which the Combination is inferred was a Proxy from A. S. produced at the Trial of Inclinations. The only Concert it related to was that about the above Petition for another Candidate; and about a fit Person to draw it up and Prosecute it. It is obvious such things cannot be done without some Concert, nor commonly perhaps without some Charges of which different Persons

should bear a share.

Why

D

( 26 )

Why is not the alleged Combination inferred from that Petition itself rather than from a Proxy, relating to it? Is it that this would shew it was not early as it is expressly called; for the Petition was not presented till Nov. 5.

Why is it represented as having powerful Effects? when it had so little Effect on the only Man from whose Letter it is inferred, that at

the Moderation he was neutral.

Why is it called a Combination among Elders, preventing that Body from joining in the Call? fince the Writer of that Letter is not an Elder but an Heritor only; the very fame above mentioned as of distinguished Usefulness to that Side

who object against his Vote.

Is it Illegal and Unwarrantable for publick spirited Men, when they see a Parish threatened with a very violent Settlement, to concert how to get a comfortable one: and for that End to join in giving Church Courts true Information of the Peoples Inclinations? Indeed it is not the Petition that seems to be called the illegal Combination, otherwise it would have been made an Objection against all that great Number of Heritors, as well as all the Elders who signed the Petition. Whereas it is only against the Votes of two Men S. R. and A. S. that the Objection from the Combination is made.

Since the Petition neither is nor can be called an illegal Combination, much less can any thing else objected against in the Memorial be liable to that Im-

putation.

If at any Time elsewhere; Persons of some Influence combine, as it were blindly, against one whom they know little or nothing about, and who for what they know is not only well qualified, but may be acceptable and settled peaceably if the People are

let a
Wh
fo v
T
this

ed an haps chief for the attention obtained per chief chief

Call, being

fome

Num

lived

Two aThi rema Won above mife. Paper reman even Gorb is mu

Nine It is ma

van

other

( 27 )

ď

it

he

ly

at

rs,

13

ler

n-

ide

it

h 2

. 2

ing

n-

ms

it

hat

iers

inst

the

an

elfe

m-

In-

om

for

may

are

let

let alone, this is not at all parallel to this Case: Where a previous general strong Disinclination was so well known.

There was the more need for infifting thus on this Head; that it is one of the things first advanced and most insisted on by the Memoralists, as perhaps Allegations of the same kind are among the chief Stratagems, and Common Place in Pleadings for the like Causes. But it is a pity that it is not more attended to, that, however they may contribute to the obtaining of Decisions; they have no great tendency, especially when managed as in this Case, to the softening of Opposition.

Of the Four Heads of Families, who figned the Call, one belonged to the Gorbals, the other Three being near that Place are stated Hearers there.

As to the Nine of Govan Congregation figning some of the other Five Papers, the Four who figned Number of them, when they lived in the Parith, lived at Denfield near Renfrew, and were Hearers there. Two of them are already removed out of the Parish; a Third is to remove at this Martimass: And then there remains but one of the four and that too but a fingle Woman. Of thefive figning number 4th. three are those above mentioned who deny by a writ the alleged Promise. So of the whole Nine who figned these separate Papers; and of the other four figning the Call, there remain, only two Men flated Hearers in Govan; and even one of these two W.S. in Shiels, much nearer Gorbals than Govan; has a Seat in the Gorbals and is much oftner a Hearer there. Of all those of Govan Congregation who figned either the Call or other Papers; after Martimass there will be only Nine; and one fingle Woman in the Parish.

It was observed above, how justly a Difference is made betwixt the Congregations of Govan and

D 2

Gorbals

the

2

pl

to

an

W

Su

A

m

the

th

fro

tra

of

tha

wi

апо

ren

fro

doe

Car

mo

the

15

Gorbals. But even as to this last, how far is the Concurrence from being considerable? It amounts onely to this, that among about 1800. examinable persons, or among about 1800. Heads of Familes, only 48 concurr or promise Submission.

This cannot import a Submitting to leave their own Gorbals Church, which they help to support and to travel two or three miles farther unnecessarily. Nor can it import, that they would have the next Minister of the Parish, to submit to that Care of them which his Predecessor was so long free of. But only that they submit to his taking that Care of their Neighbours in the other Congregation.

whether themselves submit to it or not.

Various Objections against the Five Papers of concurrence, advanced by the Procurator for the other Side and not refuted, are recorded in the Minutes: Such as marking one as Parishoner who really was not so: Want of Dates, and want of proper Attestations, one figner was openly detected to have been also a figner of the Call, thus claiming two Votes, all which joined to the above attested Denial of the alleged Promise, show much Reason there is not to lay very great stress on those Subscriptions, tho their Number were more considerable than it is.

3. After the Assembly, much regard was shewn to their Authority in the particular Decision in this Case, as well as to the same Authority in general Rules against Violent Settlements; particularly the Act 1746.30 requiring Pains to obtain Harmony, which certainly sometimes must require Delay. Much Time was bestowed and much Pains taken in repeated travelling with that People for that End, at a Season when Ministers are known to have a good deal to do(viz. at Communions in Summer) and this not only by those

those formerly intimately acquainted with the Candidate, but others; a good Part of the Presbytery engaging in that Work: Meetings with that People being kept, partly in a more publick, partly in a more private way: and due Care taken that People in different Corners might be timeously warned to the meetings; which were mostly in the Church and Populous Village of Govan. But at last the Committee subdivided: That they might travell with the People in different Parts on both Sides of Clyde.

In August the Committee had some hopes of Success, which caused no small Concern when an Appeal was then made on account of Delay's. This was expressed with such Earnestness that they who made the Appeal, by a Laudable Condescention on

their Part, at that Time withdrew it.

e

ir

re

g

aC.

1:

1-

er

Y

cr

70

al

n

p-

to

e,

as

en

at

But after the former travelling was refumed's there appeared less Ground to hope for Success than before, so that in the Interlocutor Appealed from in September, the Appointment of surther travelling was only conditional, in Case of Hopes of Success.

The greatness of the Difficulty in the Way of proceeding further at present, appears from the more than ordinary evidence that this Settlement, if made while the Opposition lasts, will not be submitted to, and the consequences with which this must be attended.

4. As to the First, seeing it is certainly safest arguing from experience on this head; how many Proofs does it afford of the Instexibility of opposition in such Cases; as in Bathgate and Insternan formerly, and more lately in Muckhart, Balfron, Killmaars and other Places, in some of which it has Substitled 14 or 15 Years or more. And if there are Instances of a contrary

contrary Nature, it does not appear they are in the Bounds of the Synod where Govan lies, tho' these Bounds make about an 8th Part of this Church.

If there are such Instances any where else, Is it not presumeable, they are too sew to venture on safely? And if in some rare Instances opposition has given way at last, after substituting a long Time, should it not be minded how much Religion must have Suffered dur-

ing that Time?

Such Instances will not be parallel to Govan, if there are any fuch Disparities as these following . If they happned long ago before there were fuch Hot Contests about Settlements; or about Opinions reckoned to be Presbyterian Principles on that Head: or 2. In any remoter Corners where there is not fo great a general Attachment to Principles of that Character, joined with laudable zeal for our Constitution in Church and State, or 3. If they are only Inflances of a Minority yielding to a Majority, either of Legal Callers, or of the Congregation; Yea if they are not Instances where the Opposition has been almost Univerfal, especially among the Stated Hearers; and that in a populous Congregation standing out against the repeated Sollicitations of Gentlemen of Influence; and the laborious travelling of their own Presbytery: and not founded on mere want of Acquaintance with the Candidate, but appearing and fubfifting after knowing him long: The mentioning of which ought by no means to be understood as the least Infinuation against a Character so well attested, and which even the Oppofers have not attacked, but only, as it is intended, as a Confirmation of the Inflexibleness humanely speaking, of the Difinclination to him.

Other Disparities might be added relating to Things tending to irritate the People, such as Misinformations either about the Reality of the Opposition, or the

Cause

C

on

by

bo

kr

ne

tre

to

an It

fiv

dee

ly

an did

ha

ftil

of t

rie

Ch

fep.

·

of t

cep

and

Par

pro

Goz

are

tion

((31))

Cause of it, as when sometimes the reality of it has been, seemingly at least, denied, that it might appear only or chiefly an opposition on the Part of the Presbytery; or when the Reality of it has been owned and imputed to the Presbytery or Elders as the Cause; both which the People well know, and others might know not to be fact: or when the Reality and Earliness of it may have been even publickly owned, but treated in a manner tending rather to exasperate than to soften.

There is yet another distinguishing Evidence against any tolerable Probability of Submission in this Case, It arises from the Diversity of the Declarations in the five above Papers separate from the Call: Some indeed are Declarations of Concurrence; but others only of an Intention to submit; and that joined with an Intimation that if they were at Liberty, the Candidate might not be their Choice. Tho' a Majority had made Declarations of this last kind, would it not still be a Settlement against the Inclinations or Choice of the People? Let it be observed then, that contrariety to all their Inclinations is by no means the only Objection; but contrariety to all probability of Submission; excepting the Signers of the Call, and of those separate Papers.

But who are those and how many are they? All of them Stated Hearers elsewhere than in Govan, excepting two at most; excluding the above three, who by an attested Writ, deny the alleged Promise, and cannot therefore be counted on. The greater Part by far are Gorballs People, as is observed above; promising to submit to a Minister whose Ministrations are not to be attended on by them, Some are of Govan Congregation, but so near to Gorballs that they are hearers there. Of the Nine of Govan Congregation Signing some of the five Papers, Three deny the

promife

( 32 )

Promife: Three have left the Parish including one who removes at this Term of Martimass. The 7th living at Densield is a hearer at Renserve: And but a single Woman. Remain only two stated Hearers at Govern, and even one of them is credibly said to hear mostly in Gorballs. But suppose it otherwise, can the Submission of these two be called the Submission

of a tolerable Congregation.?

The native Confequences of making this Settlement during this Opposition, will be obvious to such as are acquainted with the many Evils that attend violent Settlements in general; especially those that are more remarkably so. It would be tedious to enumerate them. Not only such Settlements do not answer their End which is Edification, but they are the Ocgations of many Things contrary to that good End: and that fometimes for a Course of Years. Even the more pious Sort, are exposed to various Snares; and the more Irreligious, in Danger of growing worse and worse, by throwing themselves from under all regular Infrection: Ignorance, Animolities, Contempt of Ordinances, Clamour and Indignation against Church Judicatories abound, with various other Evils. Even the better fort of fuch as have brought about fuch Settlements, & who are not intirely intentible & unconcerned about things that hurtreligion can havelittle pleafure in observing the lasting calamities of that kind, in which they havehad an active Hand; and which it is not in their Power to help. Others who may fortifie themselves against such Considerations relating to the publick Interest of Religion, cannot well avoid uneafiness from private Friendship to the Candidate to whom they pretended Kindness. Tho' they may at first Triumph in their Success at gainst the Parishes over whom they have shewn their Power, as if it was a Victory over Enemies; It can give them little Pleasure to see a Minister for whom

Circi had migh more The valua great

Court near; to he Ground and I count fabliff Succession to the fome this Cl

It is have Gener Stop to rebuke ago for for

As

tend to

are cal flances this if too rities Larger not be the cer

they have Friendship, fixed in so uncomfortable Circumstances, especially when they reslect how if it had not been for their ill judged Friendship, he might one time or other have proved as useful, or more so, and lived as comfortably as many others. The more the Qualifications of such a Minister are valuable, the greater pity it is that they are in so great a Measure lost as to publick Usefulness.

The Discontent and Dissaffection to our Church Courts, caused by such Settlements, Spreads far and near; So that the multiplying of them must tend to hurt our Constitution, by weakening a chief Ground on which the Legislative has Established, and hitherto through the Goodness of Providence countenanced it, as subservient to our happy civil Establishment, and to the Interests of the Protestant Succession and Civil Liberty: But its Subservience to these goods Ends, depend on the Preservation of some Union between the Ministers and the People in this Church, which Union violent Settlements plainly tend to dissolve.

It is no doubt on Account of fuch bad Effects, as have been hinted of that Sort of Settlements, that General Assemblies have taken such Pains to put a Stop to them, made such Ass against them, so oft rebuked those who made them, and not very long ago reversed one of them after it bad Subsisted for some time.

As the Effects of violent Settlements in general are calamitous; they are eminently so in some Instances beyond others: That they will prove so in this is made in the present Circumstances, may be too justly apprehended from several Disparities above hinted, and among the rest from the Largeness of the Parish: And from its Situation, not being in a remote obscure Corner; but near the center of a Populous, and hitherto mighty well

E

e y n = 38 - 5 Ps. -

n

( 34 )

affected Country; where it may raise a serment not easy to be conceived. Nor can this Objection be resuted by Supercilious disdaining of all Regard to the Clamors of the People, unless it can be proved that Men should disdain all Regard to the Edification of the People, and the prevent-

ing the Obstacles of it.

The prolonging of Vacances is far from being defireable, Love to Ordinances must make pious Peopleaverse from it, yet the Things mentioned seem plainly to flew that prolonging of Vacancies for fome Time is by no means fo hurtful to the interests of Religion as violent Settlements, especially those that are so in a higher Degree. This may be more strongly affirmed of Corners where there are many Churches not far from one another, and where a Vacancy may be oft supplied by a Presb. especially of any Parish where there is as here, one Preacher who is and must be the Peoples Choice. And Experience has shewn the Advantage of prolonging a Vacancy to try what might be done; through the goodness of Providence, to avoid a violent Settlement, there being more expedients than one by which fuch things may be avoided; and that, as was hinted aabove, without prejudice to the Authority that has made decifions in favours of them.

Page 6. Line 26. for 1745, reads 1746.

Extract of a MINUTE of the Presbytery of GLASGOW.

AT Glasgow, the fixth Day of November, One thousand Seven hundred and Forty Seven Years. The Presbytery of Glasgow, having met this Day according to their Adjournment of the fourth Instant. They resumed the Consideration of the Manuscript which had then been read before them, Inttled

P ar ed th R Ca of Ex par fine pri W2 Pro pers Tru whi of i whi his S othe Pape nistr had Perfe whic cerni publi the C Prefb Peop

Prefb thing

agrees

much

perfio

I

( 35 )

ill

it

rd

t-

e-

le-

n-

me

of

hat

ore

ny

ere

DC-

ch-

nd

ing

the

ent,

uch

1 a-

has

One

ears.

Day

In-

the

ttled

Intitled a Memorial concerning the Conduct of the Presb. of Glasgow, as to the Settlement of Govan, and having confidered the Extracts therein contained, and the References made to their Records, and the following Papers, viz. Their Answers to the Reafons of Appeal from them to the Affembly, the Call to the Presentee to Govan, and the Five Papers of Concurrence with it; The Prest. find the said Extracts and References genuine and true. And particularly having before them, the faid Call, they find that One Heritor, of whom the University's printed Memorial, fays, that his Vote for the Call was refused by the Presb, is really a figner of it by Proxy; and having also before them, the five Papers of Concurrence with the Call, they attest the Truth of all that the Memorial fays concerning them. which is not in the Records, particularly that a figner of one of these Papers, had also figned the Call. which was detected in open Presb. April 24. and his Subscription cancelled, and as to an Elder and Two other Persons who had figned another of these Papers, promifing Submission to the Candidates Miniftry should he be ordained, the Presb. declare they had before them a Paper figned by the faid Three Persons before witnesses, one of them aNotar-publick which fully justifyes what the Memorial fays Concerning them, the Presb. also attest, That it was of publick notoriety, that the Parish was difinclined to the Candidate previously to the Affair being before the Prefb. and that after he was prefented, few of the People of the Parish heard him. And lastly the Prefb. declare, that so far as they know there is nothing Contained in the faid Memorial but what is agreeable to Truth. And the Presb. considering how much their Character has fuffered by Injurious Afpersions cast upon their Conduct, and Resuted by

the faid Memorial, do heartily agree that the fame be published. And whereas there was shewen this Day to the Presb. a Paper signed by all the Elders that refide in Govan Congregation as Distinguished from Gorbals, and by Five Heritors, Dated November 6. Containing a Representation of the present State of that Parish, as to the Opposition to the proposed Settlement, with feveral Facts of some Importance relative thereto, the Presb. having heard the said Paper read, judged that it contained Confirmations of feveral Things-in their Answers to the Reasons of Appeal to the Commission, and of several Facts proper to be attested in the above Memorial, Concerning their Condnet. And therefore recommend to the Compilers of the faid Memorial, to publish that Paper along with it, together with this minute of Pres. and for that purpose an Extract of it. Extracted from the Minutes of the proceedings of the faid Presb. on this and the preceeding Page. By

JOHN ROBERTSON. Presbytery, Clk.

F

A

C

of.

WI

wi

TI

M

bee

We John Picken of Jebrocks, Stephen Rowand Portioner of west End of Meikle Govan, & John Rowand of Teucherhill, Robert Baird Portioner of Eaft End of Meikle Govan, John Robison of Hillock Heritors, Stephen Rowand of Haughead Heritor and Elder, Fames Rowand of Bellyhouston Heritor and Elder, John Purden Portioner in Partick Heritor and Elder, and John Bigger Elder; all of, and within the Parish of Govan, and of Govan Division and constant Hearers at the Kirk of Govan. Considering of how great importance it is to the Paroch, That the very Reverend Commission of the General Affembly of the Church of Scotland, had a true and well attested Account of the Facts relating to the present State of the opposition to Mr. William Thom Presen-& Robert Rowan of Broomloan tee

tee, his being Minister of the said Paroch, which has been and is still misrepresented by some: We hereby Declare, That whereas the said Presente was employed frequently to Presch by our late Minister the

e be Day

re-

6.

of

ofed

nce

faid

ons

ons

icts

on•

end

lifh

ute

Ex-

faid

Clk.

and

ohn

Caft

lock

and

and

tor

h-

nd

ng

nat

16-

ell

ent

n-

ployed frequently to Preach by our late Minister the last fix or seven Years of his Life, the Minister being ill, & the Presentee being nearly related to a Neighbour who was Paroch Schoolmaster and Precentor during all that Time. It was well known that there was a great and General Dissinclination in the Body of the People, to the hearing of him Preach; Farther a few Weeks or Days after the Ministers Death, when Sir John Maxwell told an Heritor of the Paroch her

Sir John Maxwell told an Heritor of the Paroch, he had thought of Proposing Mr. Thom, that Heritor begged he would not do it, and told him he was sure Mr. Thom would be very disagreeable to the Paroch: That upon this the said Sir John Maxwell said, He

would come to Govan and meet with some Heritors and Elders about that matter. That accordingly some time after, he did this, and making the same pro-

posals of Mr Thom; in this meeting, was again further assured by all present, how disagreeable it would be to the Paroch, That hereupon the said Sir John

Maxwell desired the Members of this Meeting to make a further Trial of the Inclination of the People, as to Mr. Thom, That this was gone into, and the Trial ac-

cordingly made; and report made to faid Sir John Maxwell at an after Meeting with the fame Persons, That the Paroch were found to be of the same Sen-

of. That at this last Meeting; the said Sir John Maxwel asking what Person the Paroch would be pleased

with to supply the Vacancy Heathery-Hall answered, That either of the late Minister's two Assistants, viz. Mr. Andersen at Gotballs or Mr. Dennystone who had

been at Govan, would be very agreeable to the Peo-

ple. And whereas the University's Printed Memorial fays. That they have made to the Paroch an offer of a Leet of two, no return was made to this offer: We attest that the offer was publickly Considered at a numerous Meeting of Heritors refiding and not refiding, and Elders in the Church of Govan, which Meeting had been Warned by all the fame formalitys, as in the Case of moderating a Call. That after long reasoning, it was put to the Vote, accept of the Leete or not, and it was carried by a great Majority in the negative. That the Rector of the University being present, and it being certain that he would Report the Determination; It was not thought very neceffary to fend a formal meflage to the University, acquainting them of it. That if in this there was the neglect of a formality, it was occasioned by these who were keen for the Leete, their going off in a hurry, which made the Meeting break up abruptly.

h

B

H

fo

tv

he

A

be

eff

ni

D

th

OU

M

m

Vi

E

ha

th

Pr

G

We further atteft, when a delay was agreed on by both Sides till after Harvest, 1746. And a Trial whether the People could be brought in during this interval, It was after Harvest reported to the University by sour who are both Heritors and Elders, That the disinclination to Mr. Them in the Paroch, far from being abated, seemed rather to be growing Stronger. And we hereby declare, that the Opposition is as great now as when the Call was judged of by the Pres. if not greater: And that whereas an Account of the opposition as it then appeared to the Pres. and is narrated in their minutes, would give some Light; In order therefore to give a more full Account of this

Affair, We attest the following particulars,

Whereas in the Minutes of the Press. the refiding Heritors for the Presentee are reckoned fix in Number, the one half of these Reside at Glasgow in the ( 39 )

the Winter Season; and of the other three, one is a hearer in Garballs, and the other at Renfrew living very near it; And the third has given clear

Evidence of being of another Communion.

2. As to Elders, whereas there are but 8 in all, feven Voted against the Presentee at the moderation, the only one absent, who lives in Gorballs, not only had formerly signed a Petition for another Candidate, But also declared before two of our Members, viz... Haughead and Bellyhouston, that he would have sent a Proxie against the Presentee at the moderation, if he had not been so indisposed that he could not Write.

3. As to heads of Families, we can make good the following general and important Remark, That as there is no Heritor, or Elder, so there are not above two heads of Familys, that can be reckoned Stated hearers in Govan Congregation, among all the figners either of the Call, or of the five feparate Papers afterwards given in : which Remark we make out thus, As these who signed the Call were only four in Number, one lives in Gorballs, the other three living nead est to the Church there are Stated hearers there: of the nine of Govan Division who sign some of the separate Papers, four of the faid figners of faid Papers lived at Denfield very near Renfrew, and so were hearers there: But befide this, two of them are removed out of the Paroch already, a third is to remove at Martimass next, so that of the four only one remains who is a fingle Woman; of the other five Viz. these in 4to. three (one of whom is an Elder) are these Persons who by an attested writ has denied the Promise of Submission alledged on them, so that as was faid of all the figners for the Presentee, there remains only two stated hearers in Govan, and even of these two William Shiells living

in Shiells is much nearer Gorballs, has a Seat there,

and mostly hears there. .

4. Whereas the Neutral Heritors were reckoned by the pleaders for the Presentee to be on his Side,. We attest that five of these being Seceders who resuse that allegation, the rest except two are Non-residing heritors, of whom we have credible Information that tho' they are averse from medling, their declared Opinion is, that the Paroch should have Liberty to chuse their own Minister.

5. As it has been a chief Argument for the settlement, that the Presb. promoted the Opposition, we attest that this is not Fact; and that the Opposition is neither owing to any deed of Presb. nor any Expressions or private practice of the Members. And simally we attest that we could have got many more Persons able and willing to certify the premises had

it seemed needful.

## Govan, the 6th Day of Nov. 1747

Stephen Rowan, Elder. John Bigger, Elder. John Purdon, Elder. James Rowan, Elder. John Picken, Stephen Rowan, John Rowan, Robert Baird John Robertson.

I Notar-publick Subscribing, attest the Subscriptions of the hail above Named and defigned Persons, on this and the Two following Pages, (except John Biggars,) I being Witness to the hail.

Peter Paterson.





